Research Article

Design, Synthesis and Cytotoxicity Measurement of Unsymmetrical Diacylhydrazine Derivatives as Potential Anticancer Agents

Raed M. Maklad, Omar M. Aly, El-Shimaa M. N. AbdelHafez, and Dalia Abdelhamid

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Minia University, Egypt

Abstract

We describe the synthesis and biological evaluation of a series of tubulin polymerization potential inhibitors, analogues of combretastatin A^{ξ} (CA- ξ) which contains the bis-hydrazide linkage in replacement of the rigid cis-restricted structure of the double bond of $CA-² I$ (Fig.1). The bis-hydrazides assume more than one tautomeric structure producing new tautomeric OH group(s) (Fig.^{χ}) which exerts extra-binding affinity to colchicine binding site of tubulin protein by making strong hydrogen bonds with the active site as shown by molecular docking studies of different members of the series (table 1) as compared to common potent tubulin inhibitors like $CA-²$ and its analogues (Fig.1). The bis-hydrazide nucleus do retain the rigid structure of $CA-²$ by replacement of C=C by C=N resulting from tautomerism of the hydrazide functional group, molecular modeling studies show that the most stable tautomer do retain both the C=N analogous to C=C of $CA-²$ and the tautomeric OH as an extra-binding group to the receptor. (Fig.^{Υ}). All the synthesized analogues show higher C-DOCKER ENERGIES than the lead $CA-²$ as shown by molecular docking studies (table 1). Some of the synthesized compounds exhibited moderate cytotoxicity against a variety of cancer cell lines as shown by in-vitro cytotoxicity screening. Attachment of the $\mathcal{F}_ NH_{12}$, ϵ -OCH_r group to the ring B as exemplified by compound ^ve, or ϵ -OAc group as exemplified by compound 7d conferred optimal receptor binding and optimal cytotoxicity among this series (Table 1). Nearly all of the tested compounds have moderate antiproliferative activity against the cell lines $PC\text{-}^{\bullet}$ (Prostate Cancer) and NCI-H -^{\bullet} ⁸² (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Computer docking and molecular simulations of \vee e inside the colchicine binding site of tubulin enabled identification of residues most likely to interact strongly with these inhibitors (Fig.⁴) and explain their potent anti-tubulin activity and cytotoxicity. It is hoped that results presented here will stimulate further examination of these substituted bishydrazides as potential anti-cancer therapeutic agents

Key words: Diacylhydrazine, tubulin inhibitors, cytotoxicity, anticancer, docking study

Introduction

Microtubules are among the most important molecular targets for anticancer agents due to their essential role in the growth and function of cells,⁽¹⁾ they are a key component of the cytoskeleton, and are involved in a wide range of cellular functions, including regulation of motility, cell division, organelle transport, maintenance of cell morphology, and signal transduction. The formation of microtubules is a dynamic process that involves the polymerization and depolymerization of α and β tubulin heterodimers. Tubulin

inhibitors interfere with this dynamic equilibrium and thus induce cell cycle

arrest, resulting in cell death.^{(2)} There are three previously identified binding sites in tubulin: the taxane, (v) vinca alkaloid, (v) and colchicine sites. (5) Among the natural microtubule depolymerizing agents, combretastatin A- ℓ (CA- ℓ) I (Fig. 1) is one of the most studied compounds. $CA-²$, isolated from the bark of the South African tree Combretum Caffrum⁽¹⁾, strongly inhibits polymerization of tubulin by binding to the colchicine site^{(θ}). CA- ϵ has been reported to exhibit potent cytotoxicity against a broad range of cancer cells including multi-drug-resistant (MDR) cell lines. $(4,9)$

Structure–activity relationship (SAR) of $CA-²$ and its analogues is extensively studied. The trimethoxy substitutions on the A ring and the cis-olefinic bridge configuration have been reported as essential for potent cytotoxicity, while the B ring can tolerate modifications. (1) Alternatives to the olefinic bridge have been introduced; examples of heterocyclic bridges include five-membered rings such as thiophene, (1) furan, (11) pyrazole, (17) $\text{iole}, \frac{(15)}{(15)}$ imidazole, $\binom{(15,15)}{15}$ thiazole, $\sum_{i=1}^{N}$ isoxazole, $\sum_{i=1}^{N}$ $1.7.7$ thiadiazole,^{(15)} isomeric triazoles, $(17,17)$ and indoles. (Fig. $1)^{\binom{1}{x},1/\sqrt{y}}$ Nonheterocyclic bridges are ethers, olefins, ketones, sulfonamides, sulfonates, amine, amide derivatives, and cyclopentanes. $(1,1,1)$

Structural modifications on the B ring suggest that the $\frac{2}{3}$ -methoxy group is crucial for cytotoxicity, while the ζ -hydroxy group is optional. $(1,1,1,2)$ The observed high cytotoxicity depended on the substitution

pattern on the B ring, the chain lengthening from ϵ -methoxy to ϵ -ethoxy substituent enhanced biological activity, with the latter having the optimum length.^{(17)} The introduction of hydrogen-bonding groups in the $\mathbf{5}$ -position of B-ring may increase or retain the cytotoxicity, for example chlorine, (35) fluorine,⁽¹⁴⁾ and the superior $NH₁⁽¹⁵⁾$ group which usually increases the cytotoxicity further than the OH of the lead compound.

In our efforts to discover novel tubulin polymerization inhibitors as potential anticancer chemotherapeutic agents, we selected the bis-hydrazide nucleus which retain the rigid structure of CA-4 by replacement of C=C by C=N resulting from tautomerism of the hydrazide moiety, the tautomeric OH is expected to have an extrabinding affinity to the receptor. Additionally, insertion of \overline{y} -amino group in the B ring would optimize the cytotoxicity and anticancer activity. Here we report the synthesis and in vitro cytotoxicity of a series of novel bis-hydrazide based tubulin polymerization inhibitors (Scheme 1. Compounds ^Va-e)

Fig.¹. Tautomerism in unsymmetrical bis-hydrazides

Results and discussion Chemistry

Substituted benzoic acid derivatives $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ and ^o, Scheme 1) were prepared as starting materials for their corresponding acyl chlorides used in the next step $(\tilde{\mathbf{y}})$ and \circ , Scheme 1). Firstly, ϵ -allyloxybenzoic acid is prepared by alkylation of ϵ -hydroxybenzoic acid using allyl bromide, utilizing the high reactivity of allyl bromide toward both SN_1 and SN_1 nucleophilic substitution reaction and the good leaving group bromide ion. Here the reaction is brought to SN_{τ} reation conditions by in situ generation of the highly nucleophilic phenoxide ion, this was such a tedious task which require careful selection of the base used to deprotonate the phenolic OH group, the major problem was that selective deprotonation of phenolic OH group is impossible due to much higher acidity of carboxylic group, normal bases like sodium carbonate or triethyl amine are too weak to deprotonate the phenolic OH, instead they result in selective deprotonation of COOH group to its carboxylate salt which may undergo alkylation to give the ester as a major byproduct. However, when a strong base like sodium ethoxide (prepared in situ from sodium metal and ethanol) is used as a strong base, it completely deprotonates both the COOH and the phenolic OH giving the dianion which may undergo alkylation at both sites with a much higher reactivity to the most nucleophilic phenoxide ion, giving the desired ϵ -allyloxybenzoic acid after acidic work up. The product is characterized by matching the melting point with the reported one, and by IR spectrometry; C=O stretching at $17\frac{v}{c}$ cm⁻¹ and the C=C stretching at $11 \cdot 2$ cm⁻¹.

By similar alkylation reaction under SN_{1} reaction condition \tilde{y} , ζ , \circ -trimethoxybenzoic acid is prepared starting from gallic acid by alkylation with the very reactive methylating agent dimethyl sulphate, resulting in exhaustive methylation by using large excess of the alkylating agent and of the base sodium hydroxide $(1 \cdot$ equivalents) the produced ester is not easily separated and purified from the reaction mixture so it is preferably hydrolysed by excess sodium

hydroxide to give the corresponding acid, which is characterized by matching the melting point with the reported one, and by IR spectrometry; C=O stretching at 17λ cm⁻¹ shifted to higher frequency due to decreased hydrogen bonding.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ -methoxy- $\frac{1}{2}$ -nitrobenzoic acid is prepared by mild nitration of p-anisic acid using the mixed fuming nitric acid (less than two equivalents) and sulphuric acid in glacial acetic acid as solvent and selectively at the most reactive position ortho to the activating methoxy group, more vigorous nitration conditions may give the dinitro derivative or result in nonselective mononitration giving two isomeric nitro-acids. The desired product is characterized by matching the melting point with the reported one.

4-acetoxybenzoic acid is prepared by direct acetylation of ϵ -hydroxybenzoic acid using acetic anhydride under acid catalyst (orthophosphoric acid), the use of sulphuric acid results in partial charring or decomposition, also the acetylation with acetyl chloride in cold alkaline medium did not work. The ϵ -acetoxybenzoic acid is characterized by matching the melting point with the reported one, and by IR spectrometry; C=O stretching at 11% cm⁻¹ of the carboxylic acid dimer, phenyl ester C=O stretching at $1\sqrt{0}i$ cm⁻¹ of higher frequency than normal esters.

Substituted benzoyl chlorides are prepared by treatment of the corresponding acids with excess of neat refluxing thionyl chloride, inert solvent like benzene may be used for dilution of the reaction mixture when labile functional group is present; e.g. ester as in compound \degree d which may partially undergo hydrolysis under strongly acidic conditions and allyl ether as in compound \circ a which may partially undergo hydrolysis or electrophilic addition of chlorine or thionyl chloride. Some of the crude acyl chlorides were characterized by IR spectrometry; C=O stretching at 144.1 1145 cm⁻¹ of the acyl chloride, at higher frequency due to electron-withdrawing chlorine.

Methyl $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathcal{S}$ -trimethoxybenzoate \mathbf{v}_i was prepared by very easy method and in a quantitative yield by methanolysis of the corresponding acid chloride using in-situprepared sodium methoxide solution in methanol, an instantaneous precipitation of sodium chloride byproduct is a strong

driving for the reaction, unlike the use of methanol only in which case hydrogen chloride gas is an interfering byproduct making the reaction slower and under equilibrium. Also the normal Fischer esterification was not favored due to lower yield, slower reaction rate and difficult work up. The produced ester is characterized by IR spectrometry; C=O stretching at $1 \times 1 \times 1$ cm⁻¹ of the ester, at higher frequency than the starting acid due to electron-withdrawing methoxy group, also the disappearance of C=O stretching at

1749 cm⁻¹ and the very broad OH band centered at \uparrow 11 \cm⁻¹ of acid dimer.

 \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E} , \circ -trimethoxybenzohydrazide is prepared by nucleophilic acyl substitution reaction between the corresponding ester and hydrazine (in the form of hydrate) in refluxing ethanol, the reaction is straightforward and selective to the monoacyl hydrazine due to lower nucleophilicity of the produced monohydrazide than hydrazine itself. The product is characterized by matching the melting point with the reported one, and by IR spectrometry; C=O stretching at $1\vee\cdots\vee$ cm⁻¹ shifted to lower frequency due to resonance and the NH_x stretching as forked band at $\mathsf{r}\mathsf{r}\cdots\mathsf{r}\mathsf{r}\mathsf{r}\circ\mathsf{cm}$.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of unsymmetrical bis-hydrazides \forall **a-e**

Bishydrazides \forall a-e were prepared by acylation of $\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{6}$ -trimethoxybenzohydrazide using equimolar quantity of substituted benzoyl chlorides $a-e$ in DMF solvent and using triethylamine as base, refluxing pyridine may be used as both solvent and base but the polar aprotic nature of DMF and its high solvation properties allow concentration of the reactants to be high enough for high reaction rate, thus conducting the reaction under milder conditions at room temperature. The produced bishydrazides are of the most polar compounds, so can be

easily purified by several washing with boiling ethyl acetate, no cyclization of the bishydrazides is observed under the mild reaction conditions due to low reactivity of hydrazide carbonyl group due to resonance. The product is characterized by IR spectrometry; two nonequivalent C=O stretching at $11 \wedge \cdots \wedge \cdots$ cm⁻¹ and the two NH stretching absorption bands at $\tilde{\tau} \tilde{\cdot} \cdot$ - $\mathsf{S10. cm}^{-1}$, and by ¹HNMR; two offset singlets at $1 \cdot -11$. ppm of the two NH protons, singlet of \mathbf{Y} H at \mathbf{Y} . ppm of the two equivalent aromatic protons of A ring, two singlets of \tilde{H} and H of the A ring

substituents $\tilde{\zeta}$, \circ -(OCH_{$\tilde{\zeta}$}) and $\check{\zeta}$ -OCH_{$\check{\zeta}$} respectively appearing at τ .^{o.f} ppm, ¹^CNMR; two carbonyl signals at $11 \cdot 11$ ¹ ppm, 4 aromatic carbon signals of A ring at 1... 100 ppm, two signals for carbons of A ring substituents $\tilde{\zeta}$ -(OCH_y)₂ and $\tilde{\zeta}$ -OCH_y at 0.51 ppm, other signals of $^{\prime}$ HNMR and ¹^CNMR characteristic of B ring and its substituents as expected are found in the experimental part.

In-vitro **cytotoxicity assay:**

In series $\forall a-e$, results showed that compound \vee e having \vee -NH₁, 2-OCH₁ groups in the B ring, showed the best antiproliferative activity against the three of eleven tested cancer cell lines (Table 1); the best of them was the result of A^{ξ} ⁴ cell line (subtype of renal cancer) showing 75.62 growth, and also cell lines A548/ATCC (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer cancer) and $UO-²$ (subtype of renal cancer), indicating moderate activity of compound ^ve as a potential treatment in renal cancer and Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. However, other tested compounds 7a-d showed no or weaker anti-proliferative activity against the same cell lines indicating the essential role of $\mathsf{r}\text{-}\mathrm{NH}_{\mathsf{r}}$ group in anti-proliferative activity. Unlike the whole series ^Va-e, Compound ^Vd moderate anti-proliferative activity against the cell line CAKI-¹ (subtype of renal cancer) $(V^{\dagger}, AV$, growth) indicating moderate role of ϵ -acetoxy group in renal cancer. Nearly all of the tested compounds have moderate anti-proliferative activity against the cell lines PC - \uparrow (Prostate Cancer), the best of them are \forall b (\forall 717. growth), \forall e (\forall \in \circ \cdot \neq growth) and $NCI-H_oYY$ (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer), the best of them are $\forall d$ $(71.9\% \text{ growth})$, $\forall b$ $(70.10\% \text{ growth})$ as shown in Table 1.

Table (1): Docking study results versus % growth of cancer cells treated with $1 \cdot \cdot E$ - \circ molar solution of test compound as compared to standard $CA-²$. ND; not determined.

Cpd. name	$C-$ Docker Energy	C-Docker Interaction Energy	$\%$ Growth of cancer cells treated with $\Lambda \cdot E$ - \bullet Molar solution of test compound										
			A o f q ATCC	NCI- H° ٢٢	$MOLT-t$	HCT- ۱۱۶	BT- 0.69	MDA- CAKI- $MB-$		$TK \cdot \cdot$	A ٤٩٨	$UO-r'$ PC- \mathbf{r}	
$CA-t$	-11.77	-55.54	$\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$	$\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$	$\langle \cdot \rangle \cdot \overline{\lambda}$	$\langle \cdot \rangle$	$\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$	$\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$.	$\langle \cdot \rangle$	$\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$	$\langle \cdot \rangle$.	$\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$	$\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$.
v_{a}	-70 72.0	$-04111V$	V9.6	VA 9	λ ζ \circ θ	79.17	$V \cdot 01$	9117	91 17	90° Λ	λ { λ \circ	λ τ ϵ	VA.A1
$v_{\mathbf{b}}$	$T \cdot 0157$	$-59.9V0$	1091	70 A ٥	ΔV 20	9998	91	91 Vt	89 VY	9.11	1.2.1	۱۲ ۱۸	VY.7T
v_{c}	Lipinski Failure; hydrogen bond acceptors above \cdot		ND	ND	N _D	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
٧d	TV or 29	100 Y _A	$\lambda \circ \lambda \lambda$	\mathcal{M}	Λ \uparrow 09	$9 \cdot 1.7$	99.15	1.272	VT_AV	VV, Y	9V60	λ λ λ λ	VV.A1
v_{e}	T1.7.7.4	-0.1570	Y1.4	$\lambda \cdot 2$ \circ	91.57	λ 7 10	9991	117.9	λ \vee λ \vee	97.77	77.02	V∧‥Y	$Vf \circ \cdot$

Molecular Modeling:

The most interesting is that the bishydrazide analogues give much better binding to the Colchicine-Binding Site than most of the know potent tubulin inhibitors including the superior one $CA-²$, as shown by the results of molecular modeling studies. In case of compound \vee **e**, we can observe the following drug receptor interactions;

- Hydrogen bond between the oxygen of the hydrazide group with Hydrogen of the OH group of SER¹⁷⁴ of the alpha subunit of tubulin
- Hydrogen bond between the tautomeric hydrogen of the hydrazide group with carbonyl oxygen of LYS S^{S} of the beta subunit of tubulin
- Hydrogen bond between the tautomeric hydrogen of the hydrazide group

with carbonyl oxygen of THR $\mathbf{r} \circ \mathbf{r}$ of the beta subunit of tubulin

- Hydrogen bond between the hydrogen atom of meta amino group (analogous to aminocombretastatin $A-²$ with the carbonyl oxygens of the aminoacids VAL^YTA and ALA^T¹ of beta subunit of tubulin
- Hydrogen bond between the nitrogen atom of meta amino group (analogous to aminocombretastatin A^{-2}) with the mercapto group of the aminoacids $CYS^{\gamma_{\xi}}$ of beta subunit of tubulin
- Hydrogen bond between the oxygen atom of para methoxy group of Ring B with the mercapto group of the aminoacids $CYS^{\gamma_{\xi}}$ of beta subunit of tubulin.

Fig. (^{π}a) Tautomer C of compound ^ve inside colchicine-binding site of tubulin with close aminoacid residues

Fig. (3b) Tautomer B of compound **1e** inside colchicine-binding site of tubulin

Fig. ($\vec{\mathbf{r}}$ c) Tautomer C of compound $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$ e inside colchicine-binding site of tubulin

Fig. (*): Docking of different tautomers of the most potent analogue \vee e inside colchicinebinding site of tubulingreen lines in green indicate H-bonds and orange lines in orange indicate π interactions.

Conclusion

We have synthesized and biologically evaluated a series of novel tubulin polymerization inhibitors that contain a core bis-hydrazide ring to which retain the cis configuration required for bioactivity via tautomerism with the more stable hydroxyimino tautomer (Fig. ζ , Fig. ζ). Attachment of the $\mathbf{5-NH}_{\mathbf{7}}$, $\mathbf{2-}OCH_{\mathbf{7}}$ group to the ring B as exemplified by compound v_{e} , or ϵ -OAc group as exemplified by compound 7d conferred optimal receptor binding and optimal cytotoxicity among this series (Table λ). Nearly all of the tested compounds have moderate antiproliferative activity against the cell lines PC-^T (Prostate Cancer) and NCI-H^{ory} (Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Computer

docking and molecular simulations of \vee e inside the colchicine binding site of tubulin enabled identification of residues most likely to interact strongly with these inhibitors (Fig. \mathbf{v}) and explain their potent anti-tubulin activity and cytotoxicity.

Experimental

All melting points were determined on an electro thermal melting point apparatus (Stuart Scientific, Model SMP1, U.K). Precoated silica gel plates (TLC aluminum sheets, silica gel $\overline{1}$ F $\overline{1}$ F $\overline{2}$ t, thickness 11 mm, Merck, Germany) were used to follow up reactions, test the purity of the products and to identify the most possible byproducts by comparison with references. Visualization of the spots was effected by UV-lamp at $\lambda = \gamma \circ \xi$ nm or by staining solution of p-anisaldehyde/sulphuric acid. IR spectra were recorded on Nicolet IS \circ FT-IR spectrometer at Minia University. NMR spectra were carried out using Bruker® Advance III $({}^{\sharp} \cdots$ MHz) spectrophotometer (Bruker AG, Switzerland) at faculty of Pharmacy Bani-Suief University Egypt. NMR spectra were carried out using Brucker Advance $\mathfrak{t} \cdots$ MHz NMR spectrometer, using TMS as internal reference, Chemical shifts (δ) values are given in parts per million (ppm) relative to TMS, CDCl_r ($\frac{1}{2}$ 9 for proton and $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ for carbon) or DMSO-d¹ (γ .^o for proton and $59.0 \cdot$ for carbon) and coupling constants (J) in Hertz. Splitting patterns are designated as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; p, pentet ; dd, doublet of doublet; m, multiplet, brs; broad singlet. Elemental analysis was performed on Vario El Elementar CHN Elemental analyzer, organic microanalysis section, Cairo University, Egypt.

Synthesis of substituted benzoic acids (5a-e)

4-(allyloxy)benzoic acid (5a)

In 10 , ml round-bottom flask is placed 10 . ml of methanol, to which is added cautiously and very slowly $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ g. (144) mmole, $\check{\ }$ equiv. $\check{\ }$ -hyroxybenzoic acid) very finely divided pieces of sodium metal, ice- bath is used to control the exothermic reaction, slow stirring, left till all sodium metal dissolved and evolution of hydrogen gas subsided. To the above solution is added very slowly with vigorous stirring and in a dropwise manner a solution of ϵ hyroxybenzoic acid \cdot g. (\forall \cdot , \in mmole) dissolved in \circ ml methanol, the rate of addition must be too slow to prevent sudden precipitation of sodium bromide which may cause clogging of the magnetic stirrer bar. After complete addition, allyl bromide 9.77 g. $(V^q \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$ mmole, $\rightarrow \rightarrow$ equiv.) is added portionwise, and then reaction is heated at reflux for \vee h. The progress of the reaction is monitored by TLC, using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate system as eluent, the product alkylated acid appears as dark spot at $\gamma \circ \xi$ nm having higher Rf than the starting hydroxyacid indicating lower polarity of the product. After complete disappearance of the TLC spot of the start material, the reaction is cooled down, methanol evaporated using the rotavap, \tilde{g} ml of distilled water is added while stirring to dissolve sodium bromide by product, and then the aqueous alkaline reaction mixture is washed with DCM $\gamma x \gamma$ ^o ml, organic layer discarded, and during stirring of the aqueous one is added cautiously \mathcal{M} hydrochloric acid $(1,1)$ equivalent the theoretical amount) , the heavy precipitate formed is filtered under suction, pressed through filter paper, left under suction for ζ h for air drying, dried in the oven below 1... °C. Yield: $(9.12 \text{ g}, 11.76 \text{ of theoretical})$, IR; C=O stretching at $17\frac{v}{\epsilon}$ cm⁻¹ and the C=C stretching at $1\bar{1}\cdot\bar{2}$ cm⁻¹.

4-acetoxybenzoic acid (5d)

In \cdots ml round bottom flask is placed \circ . \uparrow 9 $g(\mathbf{y}, \cdot)$ mmol) of \mathfrak{t} -hydroxybenzoic acid and $\gamma \circ g$ (excess) of acetic anhydride, the reaction mixture is kept at $\vee \circ$ C by means of glycerin bath while stirring till nearly all the ϵ -hydroxybenzoic acid has dissolved, then γ drops of $\lambda \circ \gamma$ orthophosphoric acid added dropwise while stirring and the temperature of glycerin bath is raised to λ° -**1.** °C for λ h. Reaction progress is followed up by treatment of a few drops of the reaction mixture with distilled water then extraction with ethyl acetate and TLC of the extract, using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate system as eluent, the produced O-acetylated derivative acid appears as dark spot at $7°$ nm having higher R_f than the starting hydroxyacid indicating lower polarity of the product.

After disappearance of the spot of the lower R_f of the starting material, the reaction is cooled down to $\circ \cdot C$, and then distilled water ($1 \cdot \cdot$ ml) is added portionwise very cautiously under well-ventilated fume hood to avoid acetic acid vapors, the precipitated solid is kept heated for ¹h at that temperature for decomposition of excess acetic anhydride, then the reaction mixture is cooled down by ice bath then filtered under suction and the precipitate is pressed through filter paper, air dried and then dried below $1 \cdot \cdot C$ in the oven to give greenish white powder, TLC single spot. M.p $19 -$ 191°C (reported 19.194°C), IR 1704 C=O ester, 17% C=O acid dimer.

If needed, further degree of purification is carried out by recrystallization from the lowest possible amount of water to give needle crystals.

4-methoxy-3-nitrobenzoic acid (5c)

In γ ml round bottom flask is placed
 γ γ γ γ γ γ mmol) of ϵ - (1.017mmol) of ϵ methoxybenzoic acid and γ ml of glacial acetic acid, the reaction mixture is heated by means of glycerin bath kept at $\cdot \circ C$ while stirring till nearly all the ϵ methoxybenzoic acid has dissolved, then the reaction mixture is cooled down by cold water bath until just before the precipitation of the dissolved acid. The reaction mixture is kept at this temperature, and while vigorous stirring is added dropwise a previously ice-cooled mixture of red fuming nitric acid $9\sqrt{7}$, d=1. $5\sqrt{9}$ g/ml (1114) ml, 4 mmol) and concentrated sulphuric acid 9λ . (\cdot , 9 ml). After complete addition of the nitrating mixture the glycerin bath is adjusted to $\sqrt{6}C$ for γ h. Reaction progress is followed up by dilution of a few drops of the reaction mixture with methanol then TLC of the resulting solution, using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate system as eluent, the produced nitro derivative appears as yellow-colored spot at $\frac{75}{4}$ mm and visible light having lower Rf than the starting acid indicating higher polarity of the nitro-containing derivative. After disappearance of the spot of the starting material, the reaction is cooled down to $\circ \cdot \circ C$, and then poured into $\cdot \cdot \circ C$ ml beaker containing vigorously-stirred ice distilled water (γ ^o ml), the precipitated solid is filtered under suction and the precipitate is pressed through filter paper, air dried as long as possible, drying in the oven is not recommended for nitro compounds to prevent darkening of their color, TLC single spot. Yield 1136 g (72136) , m.p. 19. \degree C (reported 197-19 \degree C), If needed, further degree of purification is carried out by recrystallization from the lowest possible amount of aqueous ethanol $\lambda \circ \lambda$ to give white shiny crystals m.p °C

3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoic acid (2)

To a cold solution of λ g. (^{λ} moles) of sodium hydroxide in $\circ \cdots$ cc. of water in a 1-l. flask is added $\circ \cdot$ g. (\cdot . The mole) of gallic acid. The flask is immediately tightly

stoppered, and the mixture shaken occasionally until all the acid has dissolved; λ 9 g. (λ Y ml) of dimethyl sulfate (\cdot Y) mole) is then added and the flask is shaken for twenty minutes, being cooled by means of cold water in order that the temperature does not rise above $\mathsf{F} \cdot \mathsf{F} \circ \mathsf{C}$. Occasionally the stopper is raised to release any pressure. A second portion of λ ⁹ g. of dimethyl sulfate is then added and shaking continued for ten minutes longer. During this second addition the temperature may rise to \mathfrak{t} . f° . The flask is then fitted with a reflux condenser and the contents boiled for two hours. In order to saponify the small amount of ester which is produced, a solution of $\gamma \cdot g$ of sodium hydroxide in $\gamma \cdot g$ cc. of water is then added and boiling continued for two additional hours. The reaction mixture is then cooled and acidified with dilute hydrochloric acid; the precipitated trimethylgallic acid is filtered with suction and washed well with cold water. The product, which melts at $10V -$ 11.°, is sufficiently pure for many purposes. It weighs 0.025 g. (0.14 –92 per cent of the theoretical amount). It may be purified by recrystallization from $\frac{1}{2}$ l. of boiling water with the use of decolorizing carbon, the filtration being carried out in a steam-jacketed funnel. In this way ζ – ζ ^r g of colorless needles melting at $17¹⁷$ is obtained. IR; C=O stretching at 1741 cm⁻¹ shifted to higher frequency due to decreased hydrogen bonding.

General procedure for synthesis of substituted benzoyl chlorides (6a-e)

A mixture of the aromatic acid $(1, \circ \text{mmol})$, thionyl chloride $(V, \circ \text{mmol}, \cdot, \circ \circ)$ and benzene (Vml) was heated under reflux for τ h, benzene and the excess of thionyl chloride was removed by repeated distillation under reduced pressure, with repeated addition of fresh benzene before each distillation till the disappearance of the suffocating odor of thionyl chloride. Reaction progress is followed up by instantaneous methanolysis of a few drops of the reaction mixture containing the acid chloride then TLC of the resulting ester, using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate system as eluent, the produced ester appears as dark spot at $\sqrt{6}$ am having higher Rf than the starting acid, complete disappearance of

the spot of the acid is a sign for the end of the reaction. The crude acid chloride is weighed by difference and used directly in the next step without any further purification. Otherwise, further purification may be performed by recrystallization from hexane. Yield $\vee \cdot \cdot \wedge \circ \vee$ for all derivatives

Methyl 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoate (3)

In $\circ \cdot \cdot$ ml round bottom flask is placed $\mathbf{r} \cdot \cdot$ ml of absolute methanol, to which is carefully added 7.00 g ($.742\text{ A}$ mol) of finely divided sodium metal, ice bath is used to control the exothermic reaction. After all the sodium metal dissolved giving sodium methoxide solution, the methanolic solution of the acid chloride (……) is added very carefully and dropwise while vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture is left stirring for γ h, and a precipitate of sodium chloride is observed. After the end of conversion of acid chloride to ester as indicted by TLC, the reaction mixture is filtered under suction, washed with fresh methanol $(\forall x) \cdot \cdot$ ml) and the combined filtrate and methanol washings are evaporated under reduced pressure till a super-saturated solution of the ester is obtained, then gradual cooling gives shiny crystalline ester as first crop ($\forall \lambda, \lambda, \gamma, \forall \lambda, \lambda$ of theoretical yield) . A second crystallization of the filtrate is done similarly to give a total **yield** of $(0, 1, 9, 9, 1, 1)$ g, $(0, 1, 1, 1)$ of theoretical)

 $R_f \cdot$.^{o.} (EtOAc-Pet. ether, 1:1) m.p.: ^°C (lit $\forall Y \rightarrow \forall Y$ ^oC). **IR**: $\forall Y \forall Y$. **H-NMR**: 1.16 $(S, \, \mathcal{T}H)$, $\mathcal{T}, \, \mathcal{I}\mathcal{T}$ $(S, \, \mathcal{I}H)$, $\mathcal{Y}, \, \mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}$ $(S, \, \mathcal{I}H)$.

3,4,5-trimethoxybenzohydrazide (4)

In $1^\circ \cdot$ ml round bottom flask is added methyl \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \circ -trimethoxybenzoate (1125) mol, 0.94 g) and absolute ethanol ($2 \cdot \cdot$ ml), stirred till all the ester dissolves, then excess hydrazine hydrate $1 \cdot \sqrt{1 + 1}$ ($\cdot \sqrt{2}$ mol, 25°e , 75°e equiv.) is added portionwise, after complete addition, reaction mixture is heated by glycerin bath adjusted at $14 \cdot C$ to obtain gentle reflux for $\mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{y}$ reaction progress is followed up by TLC, using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate system as eluent, the produced hydrazide appears as dark spot at $\frac{76}{2}$ mm having lower Rf than the starting ester, complete disappearance of the spot of the ester is a sign for the end of the reaction. The solvent and the excess of hydrazine is evaporated under high

vacuum using water aspirator pump till no more distillate comes out, the remaining

traces of hydrazine are washed out with water, washings decanted, then the crude product is allowed to crystallize from a super-saturated ethanolic solution at \vee C, only the first crop of crystalline hydrazide is collected by vacuum filteration, air drying then drying in the oven at $15 \cdot C$ for Th , TLC single spot.

Yield $\mathfrak{t} \mathfrak{q} \mathfrak{q}$ (AT $\mathfrak{t} \mathfrak{g}$) R_f $\mathfrak{e} \circ \mathfrak{q}$ (MeOH-EtOAc-Pet. ether, $1.0:2:2.0$ m.p.: $10.4-11$. $^{\circ}$ C (lit 1710 C). IR: 5.435 , 17.1.14. TH-NMR: $\mathbf{Y} \wedge \mathbf{Y}$ (s, \mathbf{Y} H), \mathbf{Y} , $\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{S}$, \mathbf{Y} H), $\mathbf{Y} \wedge \mathbf{S}$, \mathcal{H} , 9.00 (brs, NH)

General procedure for synthesis of unsymmetrical bis-hydrazides $(\forall a-e)$

In \circ ml stoppered conical flask is added \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E} , \circ -trimethoxybenzohydrazide (^{\circ} mmol, 114525 g) and DMF (15ml), stirred till all the hydrazide dissolves, then triethylamine (Y, Y, T) mmol, (Y, Y, Y) is added, to this mixture is added in a dropwise manner and very slowly a solution of the appropriate acid chloride $(1 \cdot m \cdot n)$ in DMF $(1 \cdot m \cdot n)$, reaction mixture is stoppered and left stirring for $Y\hbox{h}$. reaction progress is followed up by TLC, using petroleum ether / ethyl acetate system as eluent, the produced hydrazide appears as dark spot at $7 \circ \xi$ nm having intermediate R_f value between the starting acid chloride (high Rf) and the starting $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{\xi}, \circ$ -trimethoxybenzohydrazide (low R_f) due to higher polarity of the bis-hydrazide than the acid chloride and lower ability of H-bonding than the monohydrazide, complete disappearance of the spot of the monohydrazide start material is a sign for the end of the reaction. The reaction mixture is then poured into a $\gamma \circ m$ l beaker containing $10 \cdot m$ ml of ice water while vigorous stirring for ∞ minutes, then left in the freezer at \circ -1. C for \forall ^{*}h, the precipitate filtered under suction, washed several times with water, pressed through filter paper, airdried under suction for $\tilde{}$ h, then dried in the oven below $\mathcal{W} \cdot C$ for several hours, and finally recrystallized from hot saturated acetonitrile solution or washed with boiling ethyl acetate then filtered and dried. The produced powder may be further recrystallized to give final bis-hydrazide, TLC single spot.

N'-(4-(allyloxy)benzoyl)-3,4,5 trimethoxybenzohydrazide 1a.

Yellowish white powder; (1.717×9.811) yield); $mp = 1 \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot \cdot \cdot C$; IR (neat) $\text{Umax} =$ two broad bands riting cm⁻¹ (NHNH), 1719,170*2* cm³ (two C=O hydrazide); H **NMR** ($\mathbf{\hat{i}} \cdot \mathbf{M}$ Hz, DMSO-d₁) $\delta \cdot \mathbf{\hat{i}} \cdot (\text{br.s.})$ 1H, NH), $1 \cdot 21$ (br.s, 1H, NH), $\lambda \cdot Y$ (d, J = λ ⁴ Hz, ^{Υ}H, ArH), Υ ^r (s, Υ H, ArH), Υ ¹⁰ (d, $J = \lambda$, 9 Hz, 7H, ArH), 7. \cdot Y (ddt, $J =$ 17.1 trans coupling, 1... cis coupling, \circ . Hz vicinal coupling, \overline{H} olefinic), \circ , \circ (dd, $J = 117$ trans coupling, 1.0 Hz SP_x germinal coupling, \overline{H} olefinic), \circ , \overline{F} (dd, J $=$ 1.... c is coupling, 1... Hz SP₁ germinal coupling, \mathcal{H} olefinic), \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{H} ^x (d, J = \circ , \mathcal{H} Hz vicinal coupling, \overline{Y} H, allylic CH_{\overline{Y}}, \overline{Y} , \overline{Y} (s, $\mathcal{T}H$, $\mathcal{T} \circ (\overline{OCH_Y})_Y$), $\mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{T}$ (s, $\mathcal{T}H$, $\mathcal{L} \circ \overline{OCH_Y}$). $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ **NMR** (111 MHz, DMSO-d₁) δ 114.77, $176.79, 177.70, 106.19, 107.77, 107.60,$ 10101, 1277, 12110, 11191, 1177, 112163, 61115, 56146, 56114. **Anal. Calcd.** For C_1 , $H_{11}N_1O_1$ ($T^1N_1O_2$): C, T^1N_1V ; H, 0 VE: N. V. Y.O. 0, YE. AE Found: C. 17. M9: $H_1 \circ 1 \circ K_2$, $N_1 \circ 1 \circ K_2$.

N'-(4-hydroxybenzoyl)-3,4,5 trimethoxybenzohydrazide 1b.

White powder; (1.5×8) g, 19% yield); mp = 107-10 ϵ °C; IR (neat) ν max = broad band centered at $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ (NH,NH,OH), $17 \cdot \cdot$, 1077 cm⁻¹ (two C=O hydrazide); H **NMR** $(2 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \text{MHz}, \text{DMSO-d}_1) \delta \cdot \cdot \cdot \delta$ 1.25 , 1.59 (τ broad singlets, τ H, NH, NH, OH), $\sqrt{37}$ (d, J = $\sqrt{9}$ Hz, $\sqrt{7}$ H, ArH), $Y,Y \in (s, YH, ArH), Y \cdot \circ (d, J = \lambda.Y)$ Hz, \tilde{H} , ArH), \tilde{H} , \tilde{H} (s, \tilde{H} , \tilde{H} \mathbf{A}° (s, \mathbf{A}° + 4-OCH_r). **¹C** NMR (111) MHz, $DMSO-d_1$) δ 17 V, ϵ , 177.19, 1717.1 , 171.91 , 102.1 , 171.12 , 171.17 12212, 12219, 12218, 12216 **Anal. Calcd.** For $C_1H_1A_2N_2O_1$ ($\zeta\zeta\zeta\zeta$): C, 59186; H, 5124; N, 9118; O, 27172. **Found:** $C. 99.17; H. 9.77; N. 19.$

3,4,5-trimethoxy-N'-(4-methoxy-3 nitrobenzoyl)benzohydrazide 1c.

Greenish white powder; $(1.19 \text{ g}, 71.7\%)$ yield); $mp = 11A-17.$ ^oC; IR (neat) umax = two broad bands at $\text{r}\text{r}\text{r}\text{r}\text{r}\cdot\text{r}\text{cm}^{-1}$ $(NHNH)$, $\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{E}}$, $\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{E}}$, $\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{E}}$ cm⁻¹ (two C=O hydrazide), $1 \times A^q$ cm⁻¹ (NO₇);¹H NMR ($2 \cdots$ MHz, DMSO-d₁) δ 1. $\sqrt{0}$ (s, 1H, NH),

1. \circ 9 (s, 1H, NH), $\wedge \circ$ 1 (d, J = 1.9 Hz meta coupling, H , ArH), λ ¹⁹ (dd, J = λ λ Hz ortho coupling, 1.9 Hz meta coupling, 1.9 , ArH), $\sqrt{9}$ (d, J = $\sqrt{9}$ Hz ortho coupling, H , ArH), V , V , S , H , ArH), $f \cdot 7$ (s, H , ϵ -OCH_y ring B), ζ ⁴ (s, ⁷H, ζ ⁵-(OCH_y)₂ ring A), \tilde{r} , $\forall \forall$ (s, \tilde{r} H, \tilde{t} -OCH_{\tilde{r}} ring A). \tilde{r} C NMR $(1 \cdot 1 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-d}_1)$ δ 11111 . 176.77 , 100.01, 105. V , 121. $2V$, 159. $V2$, $172.0Y, 17A.21, 170.2Y, 170. 77, 110.0A.$ $1.1 \cdot 1111$, $0 \wedge 1$. $0 \vee 1$. Anal. Calcd. For $C_1A H_1B_1N_2O_A$ (2.0.17): C, \circ \uparrow , \uparrow H, $4.17; N, 1.177; O, 71.0A. Found: C, 07.70;$ $H, \, \xi, V^q; N, \, \eta, \xi^r.$

4-(2-(3,4,5-

trimethoxybenzoyl)hydrazinecarbonyl)p henyl acetate ^{\sqrt{d}}.

White powder; $(0.05 \text{ g}, 7\% \text{ yield})$; mp = $14.1\{\cdot \cdot \cdot C;$ H NMR $(\cdot \cdot \cdot$ MHz, DMSO d_1) δ 1.01 (s, 1H, NH), 1.27 (s, 1H, NH), \wedge . \vee (d, J = \wedge . \vee Hz, \vee H, ArH), \vee . \vee \in (s, \vee H, ArH), Y_\cdot FT (d, $J = \lambda \mathsf{FT}$ Hz, TH , ArH), FT (s, \tilde{H} , \tilde{H} OCH_r), $\Upsilon \cdot \Upsilon$ ^o (s, Υ H). ¹°C NMR (111 MHz, $DMSO-d_1$ δ 179.97, 177.78, 177.19, $175.1, 175.15, 102.1, 151.12, 151.5,$ 110.71, 111.99, 112.79, 07.77, 07.77, $Y \wedge^6$. Anal. Calcd. For $C_{13}H_Y.N_YO_Y$ $(TAA,1T)$: C, $0A,1T$; H, 0.11 ; N, 1.11 ; O, $Y \wedge A \in \text{Found: } C_1 \circ A A Y : H_2 \circ Y Y : N_1 Y Y$

N'-($\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ -amino- $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ -methoxybenzoyl)- $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$,**trimethoxybenzohydrazide 1e.**

Dark yellow powder; $(1.194 \text{ g}, 55\% \text{ yield})$; $mp = 105-107°C$; IR (neat) $e = 100$ $\overline{r} \overline{r}$ ¹ cm⁻¹ broad forked band (NH^{\overline{r}}), two broad bands (two NH), $177\frac{v}{10}$, cm⁻¹ (two C=O hydrazide); $H NMR$ ($\mathcal{L} \cdot \cdot MHz$, DMSO-d₁) δ 1. μ ^V (br.s, 1H, NH), 1. μ ¹ (br.s, H , NH), V , $\epsilon \circ$ (dd, $J = \lambda$, ϵ ortho coupling, $\frac{1}{Y}$ Hz meta coupling, $\frac{1}{Y}$, ArH), $\overline{Y}_{1} \overline{\xi}_{1} \overline{\xi}_{2}$ (d, J = 1.4 Hz meta coupling, 1H, ArH), $Y.Y \cdot (s, YH, ArH), Y \cdot (d, J = A.$ Hz ortho coupling, H , ArH), $\frac{\mu}{\lambda}$, $\frac{\mu}{\lambda}$ (s, $\frac{\mu}{\lambda}$ H, $\mathcal{F} \circ \text{-}(\text{OCH}_r)$ ₇), $\mathcal{F} \circ \text{AVA}$ (s, $\mathcal{F}H$, $\text{\textsterling}-\text{OCH}_r$), $\mathcal{F} \circ \text{VVA}$ $(s, \tau H, \text{2-OCH}_r), \tau \text{.} Y \text{.}$ (br.s, $\tau H, \text{.} Y \text{H}_r$). **Anal. Calcd**. For $C_1A H_1N_2O_1$ ($\forall \forall \circ \theta$): C, $59.59; H_1 0.72; N_1 11.19; O_1 70.59$. **Found**: $C. 0170; H. 0.19; N. 11.10.$

NCI anticancer screening

The methodology of the NCI anticancer screening has been described in detail elsewhere (http://www.dtp.nci.nih.gov).

Briefly, the primary anticancer assay was performed at approximately λ human tumor cell lines panel derived from nineneoplastic diseases, in accordance with the protocol of the Drug Evaluation Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda. Tested compounds were added to the culture at a single concentration $(1 - \circ M)$ and the cultures were incubated for $2 \wedge h$. End point determinations were made with a protein binding dye, SRB. Results for each tested compound were reported as the percent of growth of the treated cells when compared to the untreated control cells. The percentage growth was evaluated spectrophotometrically versus controls not treated with test agents. The cytotoxic Experimental $\lambda \cdot \lambda$ and/or growth inhibitory effects of the most active selected compound were tested in vitro against the full panel of about $\ddot{\cdot}$ human tumor cell lines at \cdot -fold dilutions of five concentrations ranging from $1 \cdot -2$ to $1 \cdot -1$ M. A $\epsilon \wedge h$ continuous drug exposure protocol was followed and an SRB protein assay was used to estimate cell viability or growth. Using the seven absorbance measurements [time zero (Tz), control growth in the absence of drug (C), and test growth in the presence of drug at the five concentration levels (Ti)], the percentage growth was calculated at each of the drug concentrations levels. Percentage growth inhibition was calculated as: [(Ti - Tz)/(C Tz)] - \cdots for concentrations for which Ti > Tz, and $[(Ti - Tz)/Tz] - \cdots$ for concentrations for which $Ti < Tz$. Three doseresponse parameters were calculated for each compound. Growth inhibition of \circ . (GI \circ) was calculated from $[(Ti - Tz)/(C$ Tz] - \cdots = $\circ \cdot$, which is the drug concentration resulting in a $\circ \cdot \cdot$. lower net protein increase in the treated cells (measured by SRB staining) as compared to the net protein increase seen in the control cells. The drug concentration resulting in TGI was calculated from Ti = Tz. The LC° (concentration of drug resulting in a \cdot . The reduction in the measured protein at the end of the drug treatment as compared to that at the beginning) indicating a net loss of cells following treatment was calculated from $[(Ti Tz)/Tz] - \cdots = -\infty$. Values were calculated for each of these three parameters if the level of activity is

reached; however, if the effect was not reached or was exceeded, the value for that parameter was expressed as more or less than the maximum or minimum concentration tested. The log GI° , log TGI, and $log LC$ ^{\circ} were then determined, defined as the mean of the logs of the individual GI° . TGI, and LC° values. The lowest values are obtained with the most sensitive cell lines. Compound having log GI \circ values -4 and \lt -4 was declared to be active.

Docking study

Docking simulation study is performed by Medicinal Chemistry Department Faculty of Pharmacy Minia University, Minia, Egypt using Acclerys Discovery Studio Client \overline{R} version \overline{R} , \overline{R} , The computational software operated under "Windows "" installed on an Intel Pentium IV PC with a γ . GHz processor and λ ... GB memory.

- The docking study is carried out using the software Acclerys Discovery studio [®] version Y_0 . 9172.
- The X-ray crystallographic structure of the target tubulin protein subunits bound to DMA-Colchicine is obtained from Protein Data Bank (PDB ID = S_A .); from the Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics Protein Data Bank [\(http://www.rcsb.](http://www.rcsb/) org/pdb)
- Prior to docking process, water molecules are deleted; the whole tubulin subunits were prepared by the protein preparation wizard using CHARM force field [11] to generate the most stable and the least energetic conformation for tubulin protein & the ligands under investigation were also prepared in the most stable \overline{D} structure using the ligand preparation wizard, the preparation process was necessary for energy minimization.
- During the preparation of ligands, tautomerization of a given ligand was allowed $\&$ only the most stable tautomers were allowed to be

Docked into the receptor binding site, also ionization is allowed for both acidic and basic functional groups at physiological $pH=7.5$ - λ .^o, and the Lipinski Rule is allowed.

- Binding site of DMA-Colchicine was defined by selecting the molecule $(CN^{\gamma}\prime\prime\prime)$, then using the tool (Define Sphere from Selection), then the co-crystallized ligand, DAMA-colchicine (molecule CN^{γ} ^{\cdots}) was deleted.
- The binding site sphere size was adjusted to 17.95°A
- Docking process carried out using the protocol (Receptor Ligand interaction, C-DOCKER)
- After complete docking process, results are viewed
- Hydrogen bonds, π -cation, π -sigma and π - π interactions are monitored whenever present.
- The values of C-DOCKER ENERGY & C-DOCKER INTERATION ENERGY are recorded.
- For an energetically-favored ligand-receptor interaction, both values of C-DOCKER ENERGY & C-DOCKER INTERATION ENERGY must be negative,
- C-DOCKER INTERATION ENERGY measures the strength of ligand-receptor interaction; however, strain of ligand molecule as a result of this interaction must be also taken into consideration, so more important parameter is the C-DOCKER ENERGY.
- The higher the negative value of C-DOCKER ENERGY (i.e. - C-Docker Energy) the better unstrained drug-receptor interaction & the better the ligand.
- The close receptor residues are selected within a sphere having $\mathsf{Y} \cdot {}^{\circ}\mathsf{A}$ diameter surrounding the ligand and the important amino acids are labeled.
- The results are visualized in publication quality.
- The results were saved in a DSV file; files can be visualized by Accelry Discovery Studio Client.
- The docking result of the ligands was listed in table λ . The docking process involves a conformational search for compound which compliments a target binding site, with the aim of identifying the best matching pose along with the active site to perform docking. The stability of the docked ligandprotein complex is due to hydrogen bonding and Van der Waals interactions.

Acknowledgment

We thank the staff of the Developmental Therapeutics Program (DTP), Division of Cancer Treatment and Diagnosis, National Cancer Institute, (NIH), USA for carrying out the *in-vitro* cytotoxicity assay.

References

- 1. Ducki S, Mackenzie G, Lawrence NJ, Snyder JP. Quantitative structure–activity relationship (5DQSAR) study of combretastatin-like analogues as inhibitors of tubulin assembly. J. Med. Chem. $Y \cdot 0.25A.60V - 270$
- 2. Jordan A, Hadfield JA, Lawrence NJ, McGown AT. Tubulin as a target for anticancer drugs: agents that interact with the mitotic spindle. Med. Res. Rev 199λ ; 1λ : Y_0 9- Y_1
- 3. Andreu JM, Barasoain I. The interaction of baccatin III with the taxol binding site of microtubules determined by a homogeneous assay with fluorescent taxoid. Biochemistry $Y \cdot Y \cdot Y \cdot 2 \cdot Y \cdot 11970$ 11894.
- 4. Rai SS, Wolff J. Localization of the vinblastine-binding site on betatubulin. J. Biol. Chem 1997: YVI: $15Y+Y-15Y11$.
- 5. Ter Haar E, Rosenkranz HS, Hamel E, Day BW. Computational and molecular modeling evaluation of the structural basis for tubulin polymerization inhibition by

colchicine site agents. Bioorg. Med. $Chem 1997:2:1709-17V1.$

- 6. Pettit GR, Singh SB, Hamel E, Lin CM, Alberts DS, Garcia-Kendall D. Isolation and structure of the strong cell growth and tubulin inhibitor combretastatin A-4. Experentia. $1919: 20:7.9$ -711.
- 7. Pettit GR, Singh SB, Hamel E, Lin CM, Alberts DS, Garcia-Kendall D. Isolation and structure of the strong cell growth and tubulin inhibitor combretastatin $A-²$. Experentia. $1919: {60:7.9_711}.$
- 9. Pettit GR, Rhodes MR, Herald DL, Hamel E, Schmidt JM, Pettit RK. Antineoplastic agents. $\epsilon \epsilon$. Synthesis and evaluation of structural modifications of (Z)-and (E) -combretastatin $A-²$. J. Med. Chem 2115;49:4197–4188.
- 8. McGown AT, Fox BW. Differential cytotoxicity of combretastatins A1 and A^{ξ} in two daunorubicin resistant P^{\uparrow AA} cell lines. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol 1991; $Y7.79 - A$
- 1. Nam NH. Combretastatin A-4 analogues as antimitotic antitumor agents. Curr. Med. Chem $\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$: 1797 1777
- 11. Theeramunkong, S.; Caldarelli, A.; Massarotti, A.; Aprile, S.; Caprifoglio, D.; Zaninetti, R.; Teruggi, A.; Pirali, T.; Grosa, G.; Tron, J. Med. Chem. $Y \cdot Y$, 00 , 475−499 G. C.; Genazzani, A.A. Regio selective Suzuki coupling of dihaloheteroaromatic compounds as a rapid strategy to synthesize potent rigid combretastatin analogues. J. Med. Chem. $Y \cdot 11.04$, $4877 - 121$ $29A7$
- 12. (a) Ohsumi, K.; Hatanaka, T.; Fujita, K.; Nakagawa, R.; Fukuda, Y.; Nihai, Y.; Suga, Y.; Morinaga, Y.; Akiyama, Y.; Tsuji, T. Synthesis and antitumor activity of cis -restricted combretastatins \circ membered heterocyclic analogues. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1944, A. Y 10 Y – Y 10 A . (b) Wang, L.; Woods, K. W.; Li, Q.; Barr, K. J.; McCroskey, R. W.; Hannick, S. M.; Gherke, L.; Credo, R. B.; Hui, Y.-

H.; Marsh, K.; Warner, R.; Lee, J. Y.; Zielinski-Mozng, N.; Frost, D.; Rosenberg, S. H.; Sham, H. L. Potent, orally active heterocycle $based$ combretastatin $A-²$ analogues: synthesis, structure − activity relationship, pharmaokinetics, and in vivo antitumor activity evaluation. J. Med. Chem. $Y \cdot Y$, 20 , $179Y -1Y11$.

- 13. (a) Bonezzi, K.; Taraboletti, G.; Borsotti, P.; Bellina, F.; Rossi, R.; Gavazzi, R. Vascular disrupting activity of tubulin-binding $\sqrt{2}$ diaryl-1 H-imidazoles. J. Med. Chem. $Y \cdot A$, $Y \cdot A$, $Y \cdot A$, $Y \cdot B$ (b) Schobert, R.; Biersack, B.; Dietrich, A.; Effenberger, K.; Knauer, S.; Mueller, T. ϵ -(ζ -Halo/amino- $\frac{2}{5}$ -dimethoxyphenyl)-5-aryloxazoles and Nmethylimidazoles that are cytotoxic against combretastatin A-² resistant tumor cels and vascular disrupting in a cis-platin resistant germ cell tumor model. J. Med. Chem. $Y \cdot Y$. $55.3090 - 7.17$.
- 14. (a) Kaffy, J.; Pontikis, R.; Carrez, D.; Croisy, A.; Monneret, C.; Florent, J.-C. Isoxazole-type derivatives related to combretastatin $A-²$, synthesis and biological evaluation. Bioorg. Med. Chem. $Y \cdot Y$, 12, $2 \cdot Y = 2 \cdot Y$, (b) Lee, S.; Kim, J. N.; Lee, H. K.; Yoon, K. S.; Shin, K. D.; Kwon, B.-M.; Han, D. C. Biological evaluation of $KRIBB[†]$ analogs as a microtubule polymerization inhibitor. Bioorg. Med. Chem.Lett.^Y . 11, 21, 977 −878.
- 15. Wu, M.; Li, W.; Yang, C.; Chen, D.; Ding, J.; Chen, Y.; Lin, L.; Xie, Y. Synthesis and activity of combretastatin $A-²$ analogues: 1,1,^{$\frac{1}{2}$} thiadiazoles as potent antitumor agents. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. $Y \cdot Y$, $Y \cdot \lambda 19 - \lambda Y$.
- 16. (a) Odlo, K.; Hntzen, J.; Fournier dit Chabert, J.; Ducki, S.; Gani, O. A. B. S. M.; Sylte, I.; Skrede, M.; Florenes, V. A.; Hansen, T. V. λ . Disubstituted $1, 7, 7$ -triazoles as cisrestricted analogues of combretastatin $A-2$: synthesis,

molecular modeling and evaluation as cytotoxic agents and inhibitors of tubulin. Bioorg. Med. Chem. $Y \cdot \cdot \wedge Y$, $Y \cdot Z \wedge Y$ 9, $Z \cdot \wedge Y$

- 17. (b) Zhang, Q.; Peng, Y.; Wang, X. I.; Keeman, S. M.; Aurora., S.; Welsh, W. J. Highly potent triazole-based tubulin polymerization inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. $Y \cdot Y$, $0 \cdot Y \cdot 9 = Y \cdot 2$.
- 19. (c) Romagnoli, R.; Baraldi, P. G.; Cruz-Lopez, O.; Lopez-Cara, C.; Carrion, M. D.; Brancale, A.; Hamel, E.; Chen, L.; Bortolozzi, R.; Basso, G.; Viola, G. Synthesis and antitumor activity of λ ^odisubstituted $1, 5, 4$ -triazoles as cisrestricted combretastatin analogs. J. Med. Chem. $Y \cdot Y \cdot 5Z = 5764$ $-250A$
- 18. Flynn BL, Hamel E, Jung MK. One-pot synthesis of benzo- [b]furan and indole inhibitors of tubulin polymerization. J. Med. $Chem$ $Y \cdot Y : \epsilon \circ Y$
- 21. Liou JP, Chang YL, Kuo FM, Chang CW, Tseng HY, Wang CC, Yang YN, Chang JY, Lee SJ, Hsieh HP. Concise synthesis and structure–activity relationships of combretastatin $A-²$ analogues, λ aroylindoles and $\tilde{\mathsf{r}}$ aroylindoles, as novel classes of potent antitubulin agents. J. Med. Chem $\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{X}$: $\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$: $272Y$
- 21. Maya AB, Perez-Melero C, Mateo C, Alonso D, Fernandez JL, Gajate C, Mollinedo F, Pelaez R, Caballero E, Medarde M. Further naphthylcombretastatins. An investigation on the role of the

naphthalene moiety. J. Med. Chem $7.00: 21.007$ - 07 Λ

- 22. Tron GC, Pirali T, Sorba G, Pagliai F, Busacca S, Genazzani AA. Medicinal chemistry of combretastatin A^{ξ} : present and future directions. J. Med. Chem $\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$: $T \cdot T T - T \cdot \epsilon$
- 23. Cushman M, Nagarathnam D, Gopal D, Chakraborti AK, Lin CM, Hamel E. Synthesis and evaluation of stilbene and dihydro-stilbene derivatives as potential anticancer agents that inhibit tubulin polymerization. J. Med. Chem $1991;72;70Y9$ ⁻⁷⁰ Λ
- 24. Cushman M, Nagarathnam D, Gopal D, He HM, Lin CM, Hamel E. Synthesis and evaluation of analogues of (Z) - ι - (2) - ι - (4) -methoxyphenyl)- ζ -(ζ , ζ , \circ -trimethoxyphenyl) ethene as potential cytotoxic and antimitotic agents. J. Med. Chem $1991: 70: 1197 - 17.$
- 25. Romeo Romagnoli et al. Synthesis and evaluation of λ .^o-disubstituted tetrazoles as rigid analogues of combretastatin $A-²$ with potent antiproliferative and antitumor activity. J. Med. Chem. $Y \cdot Y$, 00 . $2Y^{\circ}$ -2Λ
- 26. Zhang et al. Highly potent triazolebased tubulin polymerization inhibitors. J Med Chem. $Y \cdot Y$ February $YY: \circ (2): Y24-Y \circ 2.$
- 27. K. Ohsumi et al. Syntheses and antitumor activity of cis-restricted combretastatins: \circ -membered heterocyclic analogues. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 199λ (A) 105 - $510A$